

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/601,860	06/24/2003	Suk-Gyun Han	1349.1207	7183		
21171	7590 09/22/2004		EXAMINER			
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			SAN MARTIN, EDGARDO			
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YO	ORK AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	ON, DC 20005		2837	2837		
			DATE MAILED: 09/22/200-	DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	(B)	Applicant(s)				
		10/601,8	10/601,860		HAN, SUK-GYUN				
		Examine	r		Art Unit				
			San Martin		2837				
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this commun	ication appears on th	e cover shee	t with the d	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE MAILING D - Extensions of time mafter SIX (6) MONTH - If the period for reply - If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received b	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ATE OF THIS COMMUNITY COM	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no expunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply and visit, by statute, cause the apply.	vent, however, ma tutory minimum o vill expire SIX (6) plication to becom	ay a reply be tin f thirty (30) day MONTHS from ne ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠ Responsiv	e to communication(s) file	ed on <u>24 June 2003</u> .							
2a) This action	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.								
3)☐ Since this	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
closed in a	accordance with the practi	ce under <i>Ex parte Q</i>	<i>uayle</i> , 1935	C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Clair	ns								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u>	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.								
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) _	are subject to restric	ction and/or election i	equirement.	•					
Application Papers									
9)☐ The specifi	cation is objected to by the	e Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath o	r declaration is objected to	b by the Examiner. N	ote the attac	hed Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119								
·—	gment is made of a claim	for foreign priority ur	der 35 U.S.	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
· · ·	☐ Some * c)☐ None of: tified copies of the priority	documente have her	an received						
<u>=</u>	tified copies of the priority			in Annlicati	on No				
	ies of the certified copies					Stane			
•	lication from the Internatio	•				Clage			
, ,	ched detailed Office actio	•	` ''	not receive	ed.				
2 2 2 3.10									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of Reference	es Cited (PTO-892)		4) Intervi	ew Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsper		Paper	No(s)/Mail Da	ate ·					
Information Disclos Paper No(s)/Mail D	sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or late	PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice 6) Other:		atent Application (PTC	<i>)-</i> 152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/601,860

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 3 and 6 8 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - In claim 3, line 4 the phrase within parenthesis should be deleted;
 - Claims 6 8 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The claimed subject matter described in the claims is inherently contained in the parent claim.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 6 8, 11, 12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamada et al. (US 6,037,741).

With respect to claims 1, 2, 6 - 8, 12 and 15, Yamada et al. teach an apparatus and method to control a stepper motor, comprising a torque calculator to calculate torque applied to the stepper motor and to output a driving current setting signal

Application/Control Number: 10/601,860

Art Unit: 2837

corresponding to the calculated torque, a controller to output a control signal to apply variable driving current which is based on the driving current setting signal, to the stepper motor; and a driver to drive the stepper motor based on the control signal inputted from the controller; further comprising a current detector to detect driving current flowing into the stepper motor and to output the detected driving current to the torque calculator (Fig.3; Col.11, Line 28 – Col.12, Line 24).

With respect to claims 11 and 14, Yamada et al. teach the claimed subject matter described in the claims (Col.11, Line 28 – Col.12, Line 24).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 3 5, 9, 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (US 6,037,741).

With respect to claims 3 and 13, Yamada et al. teach the limitations discussed in a previous rejection, but fail to disclose the torque calculator comprising a D/A converter to convert the read driving current setting information into analog signals.

The Examiner takes Official Notice that it is well known in the art of motor control to employ a digital to analog converter to convert a digital signal into an analog signal.

It would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to employ a D/A converter in the Yamada et al. design because it would provide with an element that would convert the digital signal that the torque calculator produce to an analog signal that would be provided to the motor.

With respect to claims 4 and 5, Yamada et al. teach further comprising a storage unit (Fig.3, Item 124) to store the driving current setting information corresponding to the calculated torque information therein, and wherein the storage unit stores the calculated torque information and the corresponding driving current setting information therein, causing the torque to be proportional to the driving current (Col.11, Lines 44 - 55 and Col.12, Lines 13 - 24).

With respect to claims 9 and 10, Yamada et al. teach the claimed subject matter described in the claims (Col.11, Line 28 – Col.12, Line 24).

Conclusion

4. The attached hereto PTO Form 892 lists prior art made of record that the Examiner considered it pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Edgardo San Martin whose telephone number is (571) 272-2074. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00AM - 5:00PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,860

Art Unit: 2837

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on (571) 272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Edgardo San Martín Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2837

Class 318

September 19, 2004